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## Forecasting with many predictors

- Dynamic Factor Models
- The 3-Pass Regression Filter
- Regularized Reduced Rank Regression
- Time permitting
- Bagging
- Filters and decompositions


## How Many is Many?

- Many here means 25 or more
- Often many more, 100s of series


## New challenges

## Why factor models

- Are parsimonious while effectively including many regressors
- Can remove measurement error or other useless information from predictors
- Factor may be of interest
- Leading indicators:
- €-coin
- Chicago Fed National Activity Index
- Aruoba-Diebold-Scotti Business Conditions Index
- Real and Nominal factors
- Global and Local factors


## Eurocoin

- European Coincident Indicator
- First factor in a Europe-wide model
€-coin: the Euro Area Economy in One Figure - May 2014 €-coin and euro-area GDP



## Chicago Fed National Activity Index

- Factor extracted from 85 series
- Based on research in forecasting inflation



## ADS Business Conditions Index

- Based on factor model in Aruoba, Diebold \& Scotti
- Extracts common factor in:
- weekly initial jobless claims
- monthly payroll employment
- industrial production
- personal income less transfer payments, manufacturing and trade sales
- quarterly real GDP


## The Model

- Scalar latent factor

$$
x_{t}=\sum_{i=1}^{q} \rho_{i} x_{t-i}+\eta_{i}
$$

- Indicators

$$
y_{i t}=c_{i}+\beta_{i} x_{t}+\sum_{j=1}^{p_{i}} \gamma y_{i t-\Delta_{i}}+\epsilon_{i}
$$

- $\Delta_{i}$ allows series to have different observational frequencies


## ADS Business Conditions Index

Aruoba-Diebold-Scotti Business Conditions Index ( 12/31/2007-05/24/2014)


## Notation

- $T$ number of time series observations
- $k$ number of series available to forecast
- $\mathrm{y}_{t}$ series to be forecast, $m$ by 1
- $m$ will often be 1
- $\mathbf{x}_{t}$ series used to forecast, $k$ by 1
- Usually assume E $\left[\mathbf{x}_{t}\right]=\mathbf{0}$ and $\operatorname{Cov}\left[\mathbf{x}_{t}\right]=\mathbf{I}_{k}$
- Demeaned and standardized
- Suppose $\mathbf{x}_{t}=\Sigma_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1 / 2}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{X}\right)$
- $\mathbf{f}_{t}$ factors, $r$ by 1
- $\mathrm{x}_{t}$ may be $\mathrm{y}_{t}$, but not necessarily
- $\mathbf{y}_{t}$ could be subset of $\mathbf{x}_{t}$ (common)
- $\mathbf{y}_{t}$ could be excluded from factor estimation (uncommon)


## Why factor models?

- Factor models help avoid issues with large, kitchen-sink models
- Consider issue of parameter estimation error when forecasting
- Suppose correct model is linear

$$
y_{t+1}=\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{x}_{t}+\epsilon_{t}
$$

- Forecast using OLS estimates is then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{y}_{t+1 \mid t} & =\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \mathbf{x}_{t} \\
& =(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}-\boldsymbol{\beta}+\boldsymbol{\beta}) \mathbf{x}_{t} \\
& \left.=\underset{\text { estimation error }}{(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}-\boldsymbol{\beta}) \mathbf{x}_{t}+\underset{\text { correct forecast }}{\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{x}_{t}}} \begin{array}{rl} 
\\
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## OLS when there are many regressors

- Suppose $\epsilon_{t}, \mathbf{x}_{t}$ are independent and jointly normally distributed

$$
\operatorname{Cov}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\epsilon_{t} \\
\mathbf{x}_{t}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2} & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_{k}
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Standard assumptions have $k$ fixed, so as $T \rightarrow \infty, \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}-\boldsymbol{\beta} \xrightarrow{p} 0$

$$
\hat{y}_{t+1 \mid t} \sim N\left(\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{x}_{t}, 0\right)
$$

- Degenerate normal - no error since $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is effectively known
- What about the case when $k$ is large
- Use diagonal asymptotics, $k / T \rightarrow c, 0<\underline{\kappa}<c<\bar{\kappa}<\infty$
- In this case

$$
\hat{y}_{t+1 \mid t} \sim N\left(\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{x}_{t},{ }^{k} / T \times \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}\right)
$$

- Is still random, even when $T \rightarrow \infty$
- True even if all $\beta=0$ !


## (Really) Big models don't make sense

- When the number of parameters is large, then almost all coefficients must be 0

$$
y_{t}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{i} x_{t, i}+\epsilon_{i}
$$

- Variance of the LHS is the same as the RHS

$$
\mathrm{V}\left[y_{t}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{i}^{2}+\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}
$$

- If $k \rightarrow \infty, \inf _{i}\left|\beta_{i}\right|>\underline{\kappa}>0$, then $\mathrm{V}\left[y_{t}\right] \rightarrow \infty$
- Even when $T$ is very large, it will not usually make sense to have $k$ extremely large
- Factor models will effectively have small $\beta_{i}$ coefficient, only using two steps

1. Construct average-like estimators of factors from $\mathbf{x}_{t}$ - coefficients are $O(1 / k)$
2. Weight these using a small number of relatively large coefficients

Static Factor Models

## Static Factor Models

- Consider the cross-section of asset returns
- Model uses factors as RHS variables

$$
x_{i t}=\sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{i j} f_{i t}+\epsilon_{i t}
$$

- $\lambda_{i j}$ are the factor loadings for series $i$, factor $j$
- $\epsilon_{i t}$ is the idiosyncratic error for series $i$
- In vector notation,

$$
\underset{k \times 1}{\mathbf{x}_{t}}=\underset{k \times r_{r} \times 1}{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \mathbf{f}_{t}+\underset{r \times 1}{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{t}}
$$

- $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ is $k$ by $r$
- $\mathbf{f}_{t}$ is $r$ by 1


## Static Factor Models

- In matrix notation,

$$
\underset{T \times k}{\mathbf{X}}=\underset{T \times r \times k}{\mathbf{F}} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{\prime}+\underset{T \times k}{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}
$$

- $\mathbf{X}$ is $T$ by $k$
- $\mathbf{F}$ is $T$ by $r$
- $\epsilon$ is $k$ by 1
- When model is a strict (as opposed to approximate), $\mathrm{E}\left[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{t}\right]=\mathbf{0}$ and $\mathrm{E}\left[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{t} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{t}^{\prime}\right]=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\sigma_{1}^{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{m}^{2}\right)$
- Covariance of $\mathbf{x}_{t}$ is then

$$
\boldsymbol{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{\prime}+\Sigma_{\epsilon}
$$

- $\boldsymbol{\Omega}=\operatorname{Cov}\left[\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{t}}\right], r$ by $r$
- Covariance will play a crucial role in estimation of factors


## Estimation using Principal Components

- Principal components can be used to estimate factors
- Formally, problem is

$$
\min _{\boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{f}_{t}, . \mathbf{f}_{t}} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}-\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{f}_{t}\right)^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}-\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{f}_{t}\right) \text { subject to } \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}=\mathbf{I}_{r}
$$

- $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is $k$ by $r$
- $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is related to but different from $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$
- $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ is the DGP parameter
- $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is a normalized and rotated version of $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$


## Definition (Rotation)

A square matrix $\mathbf{B}$ is said to be a rotation of a square matrix $\mathbf{A}$ if $\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{Q A}$ and $\mathbf{Q Q}^{\prime}=\mathbf{Q}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}=\mathbf{I}$.

- $\mathbf{f}_{t}$ is $r$ by 1
- $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}=\mathbf{I}_{r}$ is a normalization, and is required
- $\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{f}_{t}=\left((\boldsymbol{\beta} / 2)\left(2 \mathbf{f}_{t}\right)\right)$
- Generally, for full rank $\mathbf{Q},(\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{Q})\left(\mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{f}_{t}\right)=\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{t}$


## The Objective Function

- If $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ was observable, solution would be OLS

$$
\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{t}=\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}_{t}
$$

This can be substituted into the objective function

$$
\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{t}\right)^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}_{t}\right)=\sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{t}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{I}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{x}_{t}
$$

- This works since $\mathbf{I}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}$ is idempotent
- AA = A
- Some additional manipulation using the trace operator on a scalar leads to two equivalent expressions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min _{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{t}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{I}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{x}_{t} & =\max _{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)^{-1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{x}} \boldsymbol{\beta}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right) \\
& =\max _{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{x}} \boldsymbol{\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

- All subject to $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}=\mathbf{I}_{r}$
- Solution to last problem sets $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ to the eigenvectors of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{x}}$


## Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

## Definition (Eigenvalue)

The eigenvalues of a real, symmetric matrix $k$ by $k$ matrix $\mathbf{A}$ are the $k$ solutions to

$$
\left|\lambda \mathbf{I}_{k}-\mathbf{A}\right|=0
$$

where $|\cdot|$ is the determinant.

- Properties of eigenvalues
- $\operatorname{det} \mathbf{A}=\prod_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i}$
$-\operatorname{trA}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i}$
- For positive (semi) definite $\mathrm{A}, \lambda_{i}>0, i=1, \ldots, r\left(\lambda_{i} \geq 0\right)$
- Rank
- Full-rank A implies $\lambda_{i} \neq 0, i=1, \ldots, r$
- Rank $q<r$ matrix $\mathbf{A}$ implies $\lambda_{i} \neq 0, i=1, \ldots, q$ and $\lambda_{j}=0, j=q+1, \ldots, r$


## Properties of Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

## Definition (Eigenvector)

An a $k$ by 1 vector $\mathbf{u}$ is an eigenvector corresponding to an eigenvalue $\lambda$ of a real, symmetric matrix $k$ by $k$ matrix $\mathbf{A}$ if

$$
\mathbf{A} \mathbf{u}=\lambda \mathbf{u}
$$

- Properties of eigenvectors
- If $\mathbf{A}$ is positive definite, then

$$
\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{V} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \mathbf{V}^{\prime}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ is diagonal and $\mathbf{V} \mathbf{V}^{\prime}=\mathbf{V}^{\prime} \mathbf{V}=\mathbf{I}$

## Definition (Orthonormal Matrix)

A $k$-dimensional orthonormal matrix $\mathbf{U}$ satisfies $\mathbf{U}^{\prime} \mathbf{U}=\mathbf{I}_{k}$, and so $\mathbf{U}^{\prime}=\mathbf{U}^{-1}$.

- Implication is

$$
\mathbf{V}^{\prime} \mathbf{A V}=\mathbf{V}^{\prime} \mathbf{V} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \mathbf{V}^{\prime} \mathbf{V}=\boldsymbol{\Lambda}
$$

## Computing Factors using PCA

- $\mathbf{X}$ is $T$ by $k$ (assume demeaned)
- $\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}$ is real and symmetric with eigenvalues $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, k}$
- Factors are estimated

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X} & =\mathbf{V} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{V}^{\prime} \\
\mathbf{V}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X V} & =\mathbf{V}^{\prime} \mathbf{V} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \mathbf{V}^{\prime} \mathbf{V} \\
(\mathbf{X V})^{\prime}(\mathbf{X V}) & =\boldsymbol{\Lambda} \text { since } \mathbf{V}^{\prime}=\mathbf{V}^{-1} \\
\mathbf{F}^{\prime} \mathbf{F} & =\mathbf{\Lambda} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\mathbf{F}=\mathbf{X V}$ is the $T$ by $k$ matrix of factors
- $\boldsymbol{\beta}=\mathbf{V}^{\prime}$ is the $k$ by $k$ matrix of factor loadings.
- All factors exactly reconstruct $\mathbf{Y}$

$$
\mathbf{F} \boldsymbol{\beta}=\mathbf{F} \mathbf{V}^{\prime}=\mathbf{Y} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{V}^{\prime}=\mathbf{Y}
$$

- Assumes $k$ is large
- Note that both factors and loadings are orthogonal since

$$
\mathbf{F}^{\prime} \mathbf{F}=\boldsymbol{\Lambda} \text { and } \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}=\mathbf{I}
$$

- Only loadings are normalized


## Large $k$ and factor analysis

- Consider simple example where

$$
x_{i t}=1 \times f_{t}+\epsilon_{i t}
$$

- $f_{t}$ and $\epsilon_{i t}$ are all independent, standard normal
- Covariance of $\mathbf{x}$ is $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{x}}=1+I_{k}$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 1 \\
1 & 2
\end{array}\right]
$$

- First eigenvector is

$$
\left(k^{-1 / 2}, k^{-1 / 2}, \ldots, k^{-1 / 2}\right)
$$

- Form is due to normalization

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i j}^{2}=1, \sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i j} v_{i n}=0
$$

- $\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(k^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} k^{-1}=k k^{-1}=1$


## Estimated Factors

- Estimated factor is then

$$
\hat{f}_{t}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} k^{-1 / 2} x_{i t}=k^{1 / 2}\left(1 / k \sum x_{i t}\right)=k^{1 / 2} \bar{x}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} w_{i} x_{i}
$$

- What about $\bar{X}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{x} & =k^{-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} f_{t}+\epsilon_{i t}\right) \\
& =f_{t}+\bar{\epsilon}_{t} \\
& \approx f_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Normalization means factor is $O_{p}\left(k^{1 / 2}\right)$
- Can always re-normalize factor to be $O_{p}(1)$ using $\hat{f}_{t} / k^{1 / 2}$
- Key assumption is that $\bar{\epsilon}_{t}$ follows some form of LLN in $k$
- In strict factor model, no correlation so simple


## Approximate Factor Models

- Strict factor models require strong assumptions

$$
\operatorname{Cov}\left(\epsilon_{i t}, \epsilon_{j s}\right)=0 \quad i \neq j, s \neq t
$$

- These are easily rejectable in practice
- Approximate Factor Models relax these assumptions and allow:
- (Weak) Serial correlation in $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{t}$

$$
\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\left|\gamma_{s}\right|<\infty
$$

- (Weak) Cross-sectional correlation between $\epsilon_{i t}$ and $\epsilon_{j t}$

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i \neq j}^{k} \mathrm{E}\left|\epsilon_{i t} \epsilon_{j t}\right|<\infty
$$

- Heteroskedasticity in $\epsilon$
- Requires pervasive factors

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{x}_{t} & =\boldsymbol{\Lambda} \mathbf{f}_{t}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{t} \\
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{rank}\left(k^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}\right) & =r
\end{aligned}
$$

## Practical Considerations when Estimating Factors oxxiok

- Key input for factor estimation is $\Sigma_{\mathbf{x}}$
- In most theoretical discussions of PCA, this is the covariance

$$
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{x}}=T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}-\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}-\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\right)
$$

- Two other simple versions are used
- Outer-product

$$
T^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}=T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{t} \mathbf{x}_{t}^{\prime}
$$

- Similar to fitting OLS without a constant
- Correlation matrix

$$
\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}=T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{z}_{t} \mathbf{z}_{t}^{\prime}
$$

- $\mathbf{z}_{t}=\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}-\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\right) \oslash \hat{\sigma}$ are the original data series, only studentized
- Important since scale is not well defined for many economic data (e.g. indices)


## Fama-French Data

- Initial exploration based on Fama-French data
- 100 portfolios
- Sorted on size and boot-to-market
- 49 portfolios
- Sorted on industry
- Equities are known to follow a strong factor model
- Series missing more than 24 missing observations were dropped
- 73 for 10 by 10 sort remaining
- 41 of 49 industry portfolios
- First 24 data points dropped for all series
- July 1928 - December 2013
- $T=1,026$
- $k=114$
- Two versions, studentized and raw


## First Factor from FF Data

Scatter Plot of Excess Market and 1st PC


## First Factor from FF Data (Raw)

Scatter Plot of Excess Market and 1st PC (raw)


## Selecting the Number of Factors ( $r$ )

## Choosing the number of factors

- So far have assumed $r$ is known
- In practice $r$ has to be estimated
- Two methods
- Graphical using Scree plots
- Plot of ordered eigenvalues, usually standardized by sum of all
- Interpret this as the $R^{2}$ of including $r$ factors
- Recall $\sum_{i=1}^{l} \lambda_{i}=k$ for correlation matrix (Why?)
- Closely related to system $R^{2}$,

$$
R^{2}(r)=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j}}
$$

- Information criteria-based
- Similar to AIC/BIC, only need to account for both $k$ and $T$


## Stylized Fact(ors)

If in doubt, all known economic panels have between 1 and 6 factors

## Scree Plot: Fama-French

Scree Plot, Fama-French Size, B-to-M, Industry


## Scree Plot: Fama-French

Scree Plot, Fama-French Size, B-to-M, Industry (Log)


## Scree Plot: Fama-French (Non-Factors)



## Information Criteria

- Bai \& Ng (2002) studied the problem of selecting the correct number of factors in an approximate factor model
- Proposed a number of information criteria with the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
\ln \widehat{V(r)}+r \times g(k, T) \\
\widehat{V(r)}=\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}-\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(r) \mathbf{f}_{t}(r)\right)^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}-\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(r) \mathbf{f}_{t}(r)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

- $\widehat{V(r)}$ is the value of the objective function with $r$ factors
- Three versions

$$
\begin{aligned}
I C_{p_{1}} & =\ln \widehat{V(r)}+r\left(\frac{k+T}{k T}\right) \ln \left(\frac{k T}{k+T}\right) \\
I C_{p_{2}} & =\ln \widehat{V(r)}+r\left(\frac{k+T}{k T}\right) \ln (\min (k, T)) \\
I C_{p_{3}} & =\ln \widehat{V(r)}+r\left(\frac{\ln (\min (k, T))}{\min (k, T)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Suppose $k \approx T$, $I C_{p_{2}}$ is BIC-like

$$
I C_{p 2}=\ln \widehat{V(r)}+2 r\left(\frac{\ln T}{T}\right)
$$

## Information Criteria: Fama-French



## Information Criteria: Fama-French (Raw)



## Assessing Fit

- Fit can be assessed both globally and for individual series
- Least squares objective leads to natural $R^{2}$ measurement of fit
- Global fit

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{\text {global }}^{2}(r) & =1-\frac{\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}-\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(r) \mathbf{F}(r))^{\prime}(\mathbf{X}-\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(r) \mathbf{F}(r))}{\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)} \\
& =\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Numerator is just $\widehat{V(r)}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(x_{i t}-\sum_{j=1}^{r} \hat{\beta}_{i j} f_{j t}\right)^{2}$
- When $\mathbf{x}$ has been studentized, $\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j}=T k$
- Individual fit

$$
R_{i}^{2}(r)=1-\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(x_{i t}-\sum_{j=1}^{r} \hat{\beta}_{i j} f_{j t}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{t=1}^{T} x_{i t}^{2}}
$$

- Useful for assessing series not well described by factor model


## Individual Fit

Individual $R^{2}$ using $r$ factors


## Dynamic Factor Models

## Dynamic Factor Models

- Dynamic factors model specify dynamics in the factors
- Basic DFM is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{x}_{t} & =\sum_{i=0}^{s} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{i} \mathbf{f}_{t}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{t} \\
\mathbf{f}_{t} & =\sum_{j=1}^{q} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{t-j}+\boldsymbol{\eta}_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Observed data depend on contemporaneous and lagged factors
- Factors have VAR-like dynamics
- Assumed that $\mathbf{f}_{t}$ and $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{t}$ are stationary, so $\mathbf{x}_{t}$ is also stationary
- Important: must transform series appropriately when applying to data
- $\epsilon_{t}$ can have weak dependence in both the cross-section and time-series
- $\mathrm{E}\left[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{t}, \boldsymbol{\eta}_{s}\right]=\mathbf{0}$ for all $t, s$


## Optimal Forecast from DFM

$$
\mathbf{x}_{t}=\sum_{i=0}^{s} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{i} \mathbf{f}_{t-i}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{t}, \quad \mathbf{f}_{t}=\sum_{j=1}^{q} \boldsymbol{\Psi} \mathbf{f}_{t-j}+\boldsymbol{\eta}_{t}
$$

- Optimal forecast can be derived

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}\left[x_{i t+1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{t}, \mathbf{f}_{t}, \mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{f}_{t-1}, \ldots\right] & =\mathrm{E}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{s} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{i} \mathbf{f}_{t+1-i}+\epsilon_{i t+1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{t}, \mathbf{f}_{t}, \mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{f}_{t-1}, \ldots\right] \\
& =\mathrm{E}_{t}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{s} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{i} \mathbf{f}_{t+1-i}\right]+\mathrm{E}_{t}\left[\epsilon_{i t+1}\right] \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{s^{\prime}} \mathbf{A}_{i} f_{t-i+1}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{B}_{j} x_{i t-j+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Predictability in both components
- Lagged factors predict factors
- Lagged $x_{i t}$ predict $\epsilon_{i t}$


## Invertibility and MA processes

- DFM is really factors plus moving average
- Moving average processes can be replaced with AR processes when invertible

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{t} & =\epsilon_{t}+\theta \epsilon_{t-1} \\
y_{t}-\theta y_{t-1} & =\epsilon_{t}+\theta \epsilon_{t-1}-\theta\left(\theta \epsilon_{t-2}+\epsilon_{t-1}\right) \\
& =\epsilon_{t}-\theta^{2} \epsilon_{t-2} \\
y_{t}-\theta y_{t-1}+\theta^{2} y_{t-2} & =\epsilon_{t}-\theta^{2} \epsilon_{t-2}+\theta^{2}\left(\theta \epsilon_{t-3}+\epsilon_{t-2}\right) \\
& =\epsilon_{t}+\theta^{2}\left(\theta \epsilon_{t-3}+\epsilon_{t-2}\right) \\
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-\theta)^{i} y_{t-i} & =\epsilon_{t} \\
y_{t} & =\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}-(-\theta)^{i} y_{t-i}+\epsilon_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Can approximate finite MA with finite AR
- Quality will depend on the persistence of the MA component


## Dynamic as Static Factor Models

- Superficially dynamic factor models appear to be more complicated than static factor models
- Dynamic Factor models can be directly estimated using Kalman Filter or spectral estimators that account for serial correlation in factors
- Latter are not useful for forecasting since 2-sided
- (Big) However, DFM can be converted to Static model by relabeling
- In DFM, factors are

$$
\left[\mathbf{f}_{t}, \mathbf{f}_{t-1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{t-s}\right]
$$

- Total of $r(s+1)$ factors in model
- Equivalent to static model with at most $r(s+1)$ factors
- Redundant factors will not appear in static version


## Dynamic as Static Factor Models

- Consider basic DFM

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{i t} & =\phi_{i 1} f_{t}+\phi_{i 2} f_{t-1}+\epsilon_{i t} \\
f_{t} & =\psi f_{t-1}+\eta_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Model can be expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{i t} & =\phi_{i 1}\left(\psi f_{t-1}+\eta_{t}\right)+\phi_{i 2} f_{t-1}+\epsilon_{i t} \\
& =\phi_{i 1} \eta_{t}+\phi_{i 2}\left(1+\left(\phi_{i 1} / \phi_{i 2}\right) \psi\right) f_{t-1}+\epsilon_{i t}
\end{aligned}
$$

- One version of static factors are $\eta_{t}$ and $f_{t-1}$
- In this particular version, $\eta_{t}$ is not "dynamic" since it is WN
- $f_{t-1}$ follows an AR(1) process
- Other rotations will have different dynamics


## Dynamic as Static Factor Models

- Basic simulation

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{i t} & =\phi_{i 1} f_{t}+\phi_{i 2} f_{t-1}+\epsilon_{i t} \\
f_{t} & =\psi f_{t-1}+\eta_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\phi_{i 1} \sim N(1,1), \phi_{i 2} \sim N(.2,1)$
- Smaller signal makes it harder to find second factor
- $\psi=0.5$
- Higher persistence makes it harder since $\operatorname{Corr}\left[f_{t}, f_{t-1}\right]$ is larger
- Everything else standard normal
- $k=100, T=100$
- Also $k=200$ and $T=200$ (separately)
- All estimation using PCA on correlation


## Number of Factors for Forecasting

Better to have $r$ above $r^{\star}$ than below

## Measuring Closeness of Estimate

- Factors are not point identified
- Can use an arbitrary rotation and model is equivalent
- Natural measure of similarity between original (GDP) factors and estimated factors is global $R^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{t} & =\mathbf{A f _ { t } + \boldsymbol { \eta } _ { t }} \\
R^{2} & =1-\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_{t}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_{t}}{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{f}_{t}^{\prime} \mathbf{f}_{t}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Note that $\mathbf{A}$ is a 2 by 2 matrix of regression coefficients


## Dynamic as Static Factor Models



## Dynamic as Static Factor Models

## $I C_{p 2}$ Selected $r, \mathrm{~T}=100, \mathrm{k}=200$




## Dynamic as Static Factor Models

$I C_{p 2}$ Selected $r, \mathrm{~T}=200, \mathrm{k}=100$


## Dynamic as Static Factor Models



## Stock and Watson's DFM Data

## Stock \& Watson (2012) Data

- Stock \& Watson have been at the forefront of factor model development
- Data is from 2012 paper "Disentangling the Channels of the 2007-2009 Recession"
- Dataset consists of 137 monthly and 74 quarterly series
- Not all used for factor estimation
- Aggregates not used if disaggregated series available
- Monthly series are aggregated to quarterly, which is frequency of data
- Series with missing observations are dropped for simplicity
- Before dropping those with missing values data set has 132 series
- After 107 series remain


## The series

National Income and Product Accounts (NIPA) ..... 12
Industrial Production ..... 9
Employment and Unemployment ..... 30
Housing Starts ..... 6
Inventories, Orders, and Sales ..... 7
Prices ..... 25
Earnings and Productivity ..... 8
Interest Rates ..... 10
Money and Credit ..... 6
Stock Prices, Wealth, Household Balance Sheets ..... 8
Housing Prices ..... 3
Exchange Rates ..... 6
Other ..... 2

## Data Transformation

- Monthly series were aggregated to quarterly using
- Average
- End-of-quarter
- All series were transformed to be stationary using one of:
- No transform
- Difference
- Double-difference
- Log
- Log-difference
- Double-log-difference
- Most series checked for outliers relative to IQR (rare)
- Final series were Studentized in estimation of PC


## Raw Data Before Transform

Untransformed SW Data (Studentized)


## Raw Data after Transform

Transformed SW Data


## Studentized Data

Studentized SW Data


## First Component



## First Three Components

First Component (Standardized)


Second Component (Standardized)


Third Component (Standardized)


## Scree Plot (Log)

Scree Plot, Stock \& Watson (Log)


## Scree Plot

Scree Plot, Stock \& Watson


## Information Criteria



## Individual Fit against $r$

Individual $R^{2}$ using $r$ factors


## Forecasting

## Forecast Methods

- Forecast problem is not meaningfully different from standard problem
- Interest is now in $\mathbf{y}_{t}$, which may or may not be in $\mathbf{x}_{t}$
- Note that stationary version of $\mathbf{y}_{t}$ should be forecast, e.g. $\Delta \mathbf{y}_{t}$ or $\Delta^{2} \mathbf{y}_{t}$
- Two methods to forecast


## Unrestricted

$$
y_{t+1}=\phi_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \phi_{i} y_{t-i+1}+\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{t}+\epsilon_{i t}
$$

- Treats factors as observed data, only makes sense if $k$ is large
- Uses an $\operatorname{AR}(P)$ to model residual dependence
- Choice of number of factors to use, may be different from $r$
- Can also use lags of $\mathbf{f}_{t}$ (uncommon)
- Model selection is applicable as usual, e.g. BIC


## Forecast Methods

## Restricted

- When $\mathbf{y}_{t}$ is in $\mathbf{x}_{t}, \mathbf{y}_{t}=\boldsymbol{\beta} \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{t}+\epsilon_{t}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\epsilon_{t}=\mathbf{y}_{t}-\boldsymbol{\beta} \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{t} \\
\hat{y}_{t+1 \mid t}=\boldsymbol{\beta} \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{t+1 \mid t}+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \phi_{i}\left(y_{t-i+1}-\boldsymbol{\beta} \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{t-i+1}\right) \\
=\boldsymbol{\beta} \hat{\mathbf{t}}_{t+1 \mid t}+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \phi_{i} \hat{\epsilon}_{t}
\end{gathered}
$$

- VAR to forecast $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{t+1}$ using lags of $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{t}$
- Univariate AR for $\hat{\epsilon}_{t}$
- Usually found to be less successful than unrestricted
- Care is needed when using studentized data since forecasting recentered, rescaled version of $y$


## Re-integrating forecasts

- When forecasting $\Delta \mathbf{y}_{t}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}_{t}\left[\mathbf{y}_{t+1}\right] & =\mathrm{E}_{t}\left[\mathbf{y}_{t+1}-\mathbf{y}_{t}+\mathbf{y}_{t}\right] \\
& =\mathrm{E}_{t}\left[\Delta \mathbf{y}_{t+1}\right]+\mathbf{y}_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

- At longer horizons,

$$
\mathrm{E}_{t}\left[\mathbf{y}_{t+h}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{h} \mathrm{E}_{t}\left[\Delta \mathbf{y}_{t+i}\right]+\mathbf{y}_{t}
$$

- When forecasting $\Delta^{2} \mathbf{y}_{t}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}_{t}\left[\mathbf{y}_{t+1}\right] & =\mathrm{E}_{t}\left[\mathbf{y}_{t+1}-\mathbf{y}_{t}-\mathbf{y}_{t}+\mathbf{y}_{t-1}+2 \mathbf{y}_{t}-\mathbf{y}_{t-1}\right] \\
& =\mathrm{E}_{t}\left[\Delta^{2} \mathbf{y}_{t+1}\right]+2 \mathbf{y}_{t}-\mathbf{y}_{t-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

- In many cases interest is in $\Delta \mathbf{y}_{t}$ when forecasting $\Delta^{2} \mathbf{y}_{t}$
- For example CPI, inflation and change in inflation
- Same as reintegrating $\Delta y_{t}$ to $y_{t}$


## Multistep Forecasting

- Multistep can be constructed using either method
- Unrestricted requires additional VAR for $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{t}$
- Alternative use direct forecasting

$$
y_{t+h \mid t}=\hat{\phi}_{(h) 0}+\sum_{i=1}^{p^{h}} \hat{\phi}_{(h) i} y_{t-i+1}+\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{(h)}^{\prime} \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{t}
$$

- (h) used to denote explicit parameter dependence on horizon
- $y_{t+h \mid t}$ can be either the period- $h$ value, or the $h$-period cumulative forecast (more common)
- Direct has been documented to be better than iterative in DFMs
- Problem dependent


## "Forecasting"

- Used BIC search across models
- 3 setups
- GDP lags only (4), Components Only (6), Both

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{h} \Delta g_{t+j}=\phi_{0}+\sum_{s=1}^{4} \gamma_{s} \Delta g_{t-s+1}+\sum_{n=1}^{6} \psi_{n} f_{j t}+\epsilon_{h t}
$$

## Both

|  | GDP Only | $R^{2}$ | Components Only | $R^{2}$ | GDP | Components | $R^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $h=1$ | 1,2,4 | . 517 | 1,2, 3, 4, 6 | . 662 | 1 | 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 | . 686 |
| $h=2$ | 1,4 | . 597 | 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 | . 763 | 1 | 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 | . 771 |
| $h=3$ | 1,4 | . 628 | 1,2, 3, 4, 6 | . 785 | 1 | 1,2, 3, 4, 6 | . 792 |
| $h=4$ | 1,4 | . 661 | 1,2, 3, 4, 6 | . 805 | - | 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 | . 805 |

## Improving Estimated Components

## Generalized Principal Components

- Basic PCA makes use of the covariance or more commonly correlation
- Correlation is technically a special case of generalized PCA

$$
\min _{\boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{f}_{t}, \ldots \mathbf{f}_{t}} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}-\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{f}_{t}\right)^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}-\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{f}_{t}\right) \text { subject to } \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}=\mathbf{I}_{r}
$$

- Clever choices of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\epsilon}$ lead to difference estimators
- Using diag $\left(\hat{\sigma}_{1}^{2}, \ldots, \hat{\sigma}_{k}^{2}\right)$ where $\hat{\sigma}_{j}^{2}$ is variance of $x_{j}$ leads to correlation
- Tempting to use GLS version based on $r$ principal components


## Algorithm (Principal Component Analysis using GLS )

1. Estimate $\hat{\epsilon}_{i t}=x_{i t}-\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{i} \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{t}$ using $r$ factors
2. Estimate $\hat{\sigma}_{\epsilon i}^{2}=T^{-1} \sum \hat{\epsilon}_{i t}^{2}$ and $\mathbf{W}=\operatorname{diag}\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right)$ where

$$
w_{i}=\frac{1 / \hat{\sigma}_{\epsilon i}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k} 1 / \hat{\sigma}_{\epsilon j}}
$$

3. Compute PCA-GLS using WX

## Other Generalized PCA Estimators

- Absolute covariance weighting

1. Compute complete residual covariance $\hat{\mathbf{\Sigma}}_{\epsilon}$ from residuals
2. Replace $\hat{\sigma}_{\epsilon i}^{2}$ in step 2 with $\hat{\sigma}_{\epsilon i}^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left|\hat{\Sigma}_{\epsilon}(i, j)\right|$

- Down-weights series which have both large idiosyncratic variance and strong residual covariance
- Stock \& Watson (2005) use more sophisticated method

1. Estimate $\operatorname{AR}(\mathrm{P})$ on $\hat{\epsilon}_{i t}$ for all series

$$
\hat{\epsilon}_{i t}=\sum_{j=1}^{p_{i}} \phi_{j} \epsilon_{i t-j}+\xi_{i t}
$$

2. Construct quasi-differenced $x_{i t}$ using coefficients

$$
\tilde{x}_{i t}=x_{i t}-\sum_{j=1}^{p_{i}} \hat{\phi}_{j} x_{i t-j}
$$

3. Estimate $\hat{\sigma}_{\epsilon i}^{2}$ using $\hat{\xi}_{i t}$
4. Re-estimate factors using quasi-differenced data and weighting, iterate if needed

## Generalized Principal Components Inputs

Normalized Residual Variance


Normalized Residual Absolute Covariance


## Generalized Principal Components Weights



## Redundant and repeated factors

- Redundant factors can have adverse effects on common components
- Exactly redundant factors are identical to increasing the variance of a studentized data series
- Including $x_{i t} m$-times is the same as using $m x_{i t}$
- Some evidence that excluding highly correlated factors is useful (Boivin \& Ng 2006)
- Method

1. For each series $i$ find series with maximally correlated error, call index $j_{i}$
2. Drop series in $\left\{j_{i}\right\}$ that are maximally correlated with more than 1 series
3. For series which are each other's $j_{i}$, drop series with lower $R^{2}$

- Can increase step 1 to two or even three series


## Prinicpal Component Analysis with Missing Data

- Two obvious solutions to missing data in PCA
- Drop all series that have missing observations
- Impute values for the missing values
- Missing data structure in SW 2012



## Expectations-Maximization (EM) Algorithm

- Some problem with unobserved states can be solved using the EM algorithm
- Consider problem of estimating means from an i.i.d. mixture

$$
X_{i}=Y_{i} \mu_{1}+\left(1-Y_{i}\right) \mu_{2}+Z_{i}
$$

- $Y_{i}$ is i.i.d. Bernoulli( $p$ ), $Z_{i}$ is standard normal
- $Y_{i}$ was observable, trivial problem (OLS)
- When $Y_{i}$ is not observable, much harder
- EM algorithm will iterate across two steps:

1. Construct "as-if" $Y_{i}$ using expectations of $Y_{i}$ given $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$
2. Compute

$$
\hat{\mu}_{1}=\frac{\sum \operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i}=1\right) X_{i}}{\sum \operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i}=1\right)} \quad \hat{\mu}_{2}=\frac{\sum \operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i}=0\right) X_{i}}{n-\sum \operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i}=1\right)}
$$

3. Return to 1 , stopping if the means are not changing much

- Algorithm is initialized with "guesses" about $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$
- Example: Mean of data above median, mean of data below median
- Consider case where $\mu_{1}=10, \mu_{2}=-10$


## Imputing Missing Values in PCA

- Ideally would like to solve PCA problem only for observed data
- Difficult in practice, no know closed form estimator
- Expectation-Maximization (EM) algorithm can be used to simply impute missing data
- Replace missing with $r$-factor expectation (E)
- Maximize the likelihood (M), or minimize sum of squares


## Algorithm (EM Algorithm for Imputing Missing Values in PCA)

1. Define $w_{i j}=I\left[y_{i j}\right.$ observed $]$ and set $i=0$
2. Construct $\mathbf{X}^{(0)}=\mathbf{W} \odot \mathbf{X}+(1-\mathbf{W}) \odot \iota \overline{\mathbf{X}}$ where $\boldsymbol{\iota}$ is $a T$ by 1 vector of 1 s
3. Until $\left\|\mathbf{X}^{(i+1)}-\mathbf{X}^{(i)}\right\|<c$ :
a. Estimate $r$ factors and factor loadings, $\hat{\mathbf{F}}^{(i)}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(i)}$ from $\mathbf{X}^{(i)}$ using PCA
b. Construct $\mathbf{X}^{(i+1)}=\mathbf{W} \odot \mathbf{X}+(1-\mathbf{W}) \odot\left(\hat{\mathbf{F}}^{(i)} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(i)}\right)$
c. Set $i=i+1$

## Hierarchical Factors

- Can use partitioning to construct hierarchical factors
- Global and Local

1. Extract 1 or more factors from all series
2. For each regions or country $j$, regress series from country $j$ on Global Factors, and extract 1 or more factors from residuals

- Country factors uncorrelated with Global, but not local from other regions/countries
- Nominal and Real

1. Extract 1 or more general factors
2. For each group real/nominal series, regress on general factors and then extract factors from residuals
